## In Conversation

Eight architects reflect on the past, present and future of the profession

Architecture Today marks its thirtieth anniversary this year, and such milestones offer an opportunity to take stock, not only of the changes that the profession has seen, but also of developments in media that have been driven by many of the same forces. In addition to the monthly magazine an other publications in print, AT now has a busy programme of conferences anc and digital publishing programme not least at the website, architecturetoday.co.uk. That scope will continue to broaden as we enter our thirty-first year, starting with a new series of podcasts. Synopses of the first four appear on the following pages.

Each podcast takes the form of a conversation between two architects - one of whom began in practice at around the time the magazine launched, while the other is at an arrier stage in their career - and reflects on how a specific aspect of architectural life work and culture lias changed over the st ture. evelop in the coming years
Sander women's experience in profession, and the importance of diverse perspectives in design: Simon Allford and Eleanor Hill share experiences of setting up a practice; Bill Dunster and Clare Murray examine attitudes to sustainable building, concern for which has moved from the marg ins of the profession to shape both the and Sheila O'Donnell and Luke Caspar Pearson discuss drawing.
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## Women in Architecture

Sarah Wigglesworth and Zoë Berman

Founded Sarah Wigglesworth Architects in 1994, whose major projects inclucte her own home and studio - - the Straw
Bale House - the Siobhan Davies Bale House - The Siobhan Davies
Dance studios and Sandal Magna Primary School II 2003 she was awarded an MBE for services to architecture,
and was made a Royal Designer for and was made a Royal Designer for
Industry in 2012. For 19 years she was a professor of archl
Universisty of Sheffield

## Zoë Berman

Co-partner in the design office Studio
Berman, which she established in 2015. Berman, which she established in 2015 .
She leads a thirdycear studio at the Welsh School of Architecture and is a design tutor an Reading School of Architecture. In 2018 she founded the
campaign group Part $W$, which pushes campaign group Part $W$, which ph
for gender equality in the built
enviromment sectors.

ZB The catalyst for my inviting you and others to meet up, this time last year, to start what became Part W , was that I was listening and infrastructure and wondering, "How does this impact women, in particular?" I had joined the Women's Equality Party, and through that was finding data about say, losing a home, issues of domestic say, losing a home, issues of domestic into my industry, asking, "Where am I, as an architect, in this, and what are the concerns within my profession?" I thought I'd like to talk to some other people. And every single woman I emailed said, "Yes, I'm there. You don't need to explain it. I get it"

SW I'm the elder of our group, so I came at it from a really different perspective. When I went the . There was a sense that the a single knowledge, which belonged to men, that we were being asked to try to find - almost like it was a secret. Then I met a lot of feminists, and that was important in understanding some of the problemst that I was encountering trying to fina a way of externaising them youl clues as to how to operate against hat I still think that the way architectural knowledge is structured is very masculine. That's one of the reasons that women's experience tends to get undernined and undervalued. The old clichés about women wanting to work on projects that are good for women - schools or hospitals - do
rings true because they are to do with the ring true because they are to do with the where you're not dealing with the world as an abstraction.

After I left university, I worked for some practices doing very ordinary work. It was architecture, which is the world that women often occupy - going to the shops, taking the kids to school, cooking, cleaning - and tends to get denigrated and neglected in which gets all the gongs
Then Beatriz Colomina and Jennifer Bloomer did seminal work such as 'Sexuality and Space' (1992), trying to understand an architecture around women, which was really important for me. There was this moment when there was a lot of discourse around feminism and what that could teach architecture, but it disappeared again. That's come along and say, "This is not good enough We're going to do something about it"

ZB The point that you make about a flareup makes me think of a line that I heard Extinction Rebellion use, about "when hope dies, action begins", and the feeling that, at moments in history when there are significant societal challenges, then actions and campaigns happen. We have a Presiden things he does. Progress, perhaps, doesn't happen when we're comffortable. I wonder if those peaks and troughs are inevitable?

SW I think that they oscillate, a little bit, around theorisation and then action. If yo think about early-phase feminism in the 1970s, that led to the Matrix Feminist Design
Co-operative starting; and their experiments in how to form a new kind of practice and ways of working that were challenging to the status quo.


Then I think about the late 1980s, early 1990s, and the revived interest in the body as a site of experience, which inherently means that there's a difference in the way that you experience the world as a woman and a man, people like Bloomer and Colomina
Some people who were interested in that went into academia - like Ruth Morrow, Who came to Sheffield and started to but the issue of how to make the difference within practice has been a hard nut to crack To be an overtly feminist practice is difficult; its hard to bite the hand that feeds you, at a very basic level.
That impacts on all sorts of things, such as the expectation of what a leader is and how you lead a team. How you dress. How you people in a nice way or whether can yo really tough and get away with it as a woman.

## Today's challenges

ZB The boundaries of what defines progress shift. There have been female architects of an "But things are so who have said to our group, your age there were only two f us studyas architecture, in a group of 60 men" There is a sense of "What's the problem?" We can celebrate progress that has been made, but also demand next steps.

SW When we held the exhibition and of the thinings that somebody said to 1995 , one hat "We fought these battles before in the $960 s^{\prime \prime}$. There is a case for understanding the it's all relative, of course. We no longer wear crinolines, and we're able to go out on our win in public. Some things are better than hey were. But that doesn't mean to say they're right, by any means. Every generatio stands on the shoulders of the previous ones every one has to figh its out debt. But Thal's why P'm excited by the curuent conversation going on

ZB Another comment made to our group was, "You're just another women's group, talking to other women". There need to b spaces for women to talk about things honestly and openly and in a supportive wa g men working with us, hand in hand
sw We're aligned on the idea that it needs to be very inclusive - intersectionality being other issues, where feminism intersects w The idea that Tre inea that Part W should begin by among winners of the Royl of women mored mite early Royal Gold Medar that comes to an end today, with the announcement of $G$ rafton Arhitects year's winner, and there have been discussions about where we go next,

ZB It's simultaneously quite challenging, and also quite nice, that we don't have a brief, and we haven't said "We want to get to
X point". We also haven't set a timefran $X$ point". We also haven't set a timeframe for
Part $W$. If, in a couple of years' ime all things in the built environment time, all equitable, then we're fine. We can just get with our jobs, and Part W can snooze.
Do you have a set of points that you think are particularly pressing?
"My biggest goal in architecture is to change the value system behind what we think is good and bad"

SW My biggest goal in architecture is really change the conversation and the value ystem behind what we think is good and bad. That's a really big one, I'm affraid. Ir other words, valorising a different set of experiences, or thinking about the world in taught to do where partan seen as much less important in architecture and quality is seen for what it is. These are really deep-rooted issues and difficult to deal with, but they're absolutely critical if we're oing to see a big change
It is related to the issue of numbers of women in the profession, but if you simply produce a bunch of women who replicate any kind of difference. If you have a profession which is highly inclusive, whe difference is recognised, and that actually shifts the value system, then, of course, that will change the culture

2B We need to be going upstream - not just talking about what's happening when we're designing something, but how the decisions have been made further up. Last
year I was invited to talk to an audience who yeare not in the industry about issues in building and gender. The way I decided talk about it was to use the RIBA stages of work. I printed it out really big, and made marks across it - trying to look at the whole stream, before the RIBA stages kick in and after - to say, "Look, these are the moments where decision-making may be dominated what is generally a mate-lect group. Land sales masterplaning and infrastructure are all dominated by men, outside of architecture, but indirectly, absolutely essential to what we do. If something starts in an imbalanced way, it's almost inevitable that the effects continue through brief writing, through the design process, then to construction on site.
sw Or projects proceed in a very myopic way that fails to understand what the consequences of that might be, spatially or for particular groups of people.

ZB Quite often I find myself looped in on social media grumbles about the design of women's toilets. Consistently, what I say is "Yes, it is a problemn that in pretty much any public building, there are wore coming and going easily. We can look just at design, but that is not going to solve the problem, which is about who holds the purse strings on this project, who wrote the brief, who is making the decisions around value. That is where the real decisions are being made, and what we really need to tackle
In our first meeting about Part $W$, mentioned the Building Regs, Part M, on accessible Building Regs mean?" I think it was Dr Harriet Harriss - now dean of the Pratt Institute - who said, "That's what we're trying to flip". That was where the name came from - flipping Part M to Part W
sw The city of Vienna has now decided that every design decision they make is going to get reviewed through the lens of wo
lives, which is fantastic. They've been overhauling their systems for understanding how women use cities in a very different way than men. It's good to see that it is possible for an authority to take that on board and really drive the agenda. In Caroline Criado Perez's book 'Invisible Women' she talks about a swedish city that decided that they were going to audit what they dok going around that "There's nothing gendered about snow ploughing."

But actually, when they looked into it, they found there were a lot of gender issues arounc snow ploughing, because men's an were snow ploughing the main roads were snow ploughing the main roads because in the car's what mend from sourneys tend to Whereas women's journeys are local, multiple, and they involve lots and lots of stops.
So they found that if they did the lanes and sidewalks first - the routes to school and the routes to the shops - they saved money, because women weren't falling over,
and so they weren't having the hospital bills. and so they weren't having the hospital bills.
Once you start to uupack all of this, you realise the world is not necessarily the way that you assumed it was.

ZB There does seem to need to be a total taking apart of some of the basic principles that we work to, to create a system where If we were to look at things in terms of greatest health and happiness and ease of going about one's day-do-day business, we would come up with a completely different system for the way in which we arrange our transportation and design cities.

## A place in practice

SW I qualified in 1985, so I've been in architecture for quite a long time. The proportion of women in the profession has gone up only very slowly. We know when people start dropping out: it's when they of the reasons they can't see a future for of the reasons they cant see a future for
themselves in mainstrean practice is because it's not making room for them. So you might as well go it alone and make your own space, and live with the consequences. But it would what I'd call mainstream and conventional architecture - they've got loads to offer. The reason that I set up in practice was because I couldn't see my destiny resting with the culture of any practice I had been in. That has been difficult, but the freedom that has given me to self-express and do something slightly differently has been large practices is difficult. One of the issues that tends not to get talked about very much is that architecture follows money, which tends to be in the hands of men, and tends to be the thing that quantifies everything, which squeezes out other kinds of values social value, cultural value, inclusion and so forth.
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ZB On the one hand, I'm really optimistic there does sutive forms of practice, and appreciatioem to be a growing support and different ways. Tractices that are working in of their projects, and there are more coverag which is also greatt because are more of the through numbers. You start to feel more supported and that you're not quite so weird, or not at the periphery of things, and that, actually, doing things alternatively doesn't have to be aternative'; it can just Decome nother way.
corme time, in teaching, I have seen an end-of-term essay on "Whe asked to writ architect do yout want to be? What kind practice do you want to work in?" Out of a cohort of about 60, only one of them wanted to work in what we would consider a raditional practice. For me, that presents a feal challenge. how co you talk about these traditional kinds of practices that we also do need? It's something that I'm quite conscious of as an educator and as someone who now has a handful of junior staff working with ne. How do I balance them being aware and onscious of the challenges that they face ging into architecture, while not being so rrified that they decide to drop out? That a tricky balance.

SW A vast change is happening, and has to happen across the board, so it's inevitable that it's not going to happen tomorrow. But
at the same time. I'm disappointed that some leaders in our profession are not taking more of a lead in trying to address, for example, the gender pay gap, and acknowledge the difficulties in women's lives |because of xpectations that they will assume primary esponsibility for childcare.
women in architecture is, generally speaking our poor pay. Not earning very much money gives you so little choice. As a profession, we really need to think hard about how we pay our staff and the fees that we are bidding on jobs for: There are people working for free, just to work for the stars, and it's really cortosive. It affe
women more.
As a leader in my own practice, we try to do these things, but we've got very little room for manoeuvre, because we don't make hot of money, so we have to juggle what we lives and make room for them, and make career paths for them, despite all of that. Larger practices have more ability to do that. Yet, I don't see great leadership coming
out of those practices. They really need to take a long, hard look and make more to for women, and listen to what people are saying to them and make the adjustments.

ZB We're starting to see that there is, perhaps, some change coming about among some institutes or foundations or places of higher education, where diversity and equamick, a little bit like advertising gimmick, a ittle bit like eco-bling. Genuine change, at a deep level, will only come whe about - equality of opportunity and reang representation - are what everybody wants to do, rather than because there are organisations or individuals who feel that they're obliged to, or being shamed into action.

## "Genuine change will only

 come when the kinds of things we've been talking about equality of opportunity and real representation - are what everybody wants to do"So looking to the future, the next layer will be when we've got to the point that we
talked about earlier on in the conversation of this idea of post-feminism. When we've moved beyond having to demand these changes, and got to a stage when equality just becomes the norm. Then we're able oo focus and put our energy into other hings, rather than having to fight this particular fight. /f
路    .
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